## Combinatorial Background

- A Hessenberg function is $h:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ that is weakly increasing and $h(i) \geq i$ for all $i$.

We use the shorthand $h=(h(1), h(2), \ldots, h(n))$. Hessenberg functions can be visualized via Dyck paths, as seen below.

- The poset $P_{h}$ on $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is defined by $i<_{P_{h}} j$ whenever $h(i)<j$.
- The graph $G_{h}$ is the incomparability graph of $P_{h}$.


Figure: For $h=(3,5,5,5,5)$, the corresponding Dyck path, poset $P_{h}$, and graph $G_{h}$.

- The chromatic quasisymmetric function [5] of a graph $G$ is $X_{G}(x ; q)=\sum q^{\text {asc( }(k)} x_{\kappa(1)} \cdots x_{\kappa(n)}$ where the sum is over all
proper colorings of the vertices of $G$, and asc $(\kappa)$ is the number of pairs of vertices $i<j$ such that $\kappa(i)<\kappa(j)$.


## Geometric Background

- The flag variety Flag $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is the set of nested subspaces $F_{\bullet}=F_{0} \subset F_{1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{n}$, called flags, where each $F_{i}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ of dimension $i$.
- Given a linear map $S: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, and a Hessenberg function $h$, the corresponding Hessenberg variety is

$$
\operatorname{Hess}(S, h)=\left\{F_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Flag}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \mid S\left(F_{i}\right) \subseteq F_{h(i)}\right\}
$$

- The cohomology ring $H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h))$ is a graded $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$-module [7], and the image under the Frobenius character map is related to the chromatic quasisymmetric function in the following way. [2, 4] If $S$ is a regular semisimple map, then we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \operatorname{Frob}\left(H^{2 k}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h))\right) q^{k}=\omega X_{G_{h}}(x ; q)
$$

- In [1], the authors describe $H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h))$ as a polynomial ring when $h=(h(1), n, \ldots, n)$ :

$$
H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h)) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] / I
$$

where the generators of $I$ are explicitly given.

- In most cases, however, there is not a polynomial ring realization of $H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h))$.


## Higher Specht basis for $H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h))$

- Suppose that $h=(h(1), n, \ldots, n)$.
- We begin by adapting the basis in [1] to form a higher Specht basis. Define sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}=\left\{x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \text { not containing the factor } \prod_{\ell=1}^{h(1)} x_{\ell}\right\} \\
& B_{2}=\left\{x_{n}^{\ell_{1}} x_{n-1}^{\ell_{2}} \cdots x_{2}^{\ell_{n-1}}\left(y_{k}-y_{1}\right) \text { not containing the factor } \prod_{\ell=h(1)+1}^{n} x_{\ell}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq i_{j} \leq n-j$ in $B_{1}$ and $0 \leq \ell_{j} \leq n-j-1$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$ in $B_{2}$.

- $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ acts on these sets by fixing the $x_{i}$ 's and permuting the $y_{i}$ 's.

Theorem 1. The set $B_{1} \cup B_{2}$ forms a higher Specht basis of $H^{*}(H e s s(S, h))$. In particular, if this $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$-module decomposes into irreducibles as

$$
H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h)) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\lambda} V_{\lambda} \text {, then we have } B_{1} \cup B_{2}=\bigcup_{\lambda \vdash n} \bigcup_{i=1}^{c_{\lambda}} B_{i, \lambda}
$$

where the elements of $B_{i, \lambda}$ form a basis of the $i$-th copy of $V_{\lambda}$ in the decomposition.
Corollary 2. The $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$-module $H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h))$ decomposes into $h(1)(n-1)$ ! copies of the trivial representation and $(n-h(1))(n-2)$ ! copies of the standard representation.
Theorem 3. The following set of monomials form a (permutation) basis of $H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h))$.

$$
B_{3}=\left\{x_{n}^{\ell_{1}} x_{n-1}^{\ell_{2}} \cdots x_{2}^{\ell_{n-1}} y_{k} \text { not containing the factor } \prod_{\ell=h(1)+1}^{n} x_{\ell}\right\}
$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n$.

## Bijections with $P_{h}$-tableaux

- Since Specht modules have basis elements indexed by standard Young tableaux, we are motivated to find a bijection between the sets $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ and certain sets of tableaux.
- A $P_{h}$-tableaux of shape $\lambda$ is a filling of the diagram of $\lambda$ with entries from $P_{h}$ satisfying:
-Each entry of $P_{h}$ is used exactly once.
-Rows are $P_{h}$-increasing.
-Adjacent entries in columns are $P_{h}$-nondecreasing.
- $P_{h}$-tableaux were used by Gasharov to show that $X_{G_{h}}(x ; q)$ is Schur-positive for any Hessenberg function $h$. [3]
Theorem 4. If $h=(h(1), n, \ldots, n)$, then there is a bijection between the set of monomials in set $B_{1}$ and the set of $P_{h}$-tableaux with shape $\left(1^{n}\right)$.


Theorem 5.If $h=(h(1), n, \ldots, n)$, then there is bijection between the set of monomials in set $B_{2}$ and the set of pairs of $P_{h}$-tableaux and standard tableaux, both with shape $\left(2,1^{n-2}\right)$.


## Positivity in the elementary basis

- Stanley [6] showed that graphs with independence number 1 or 2 are $e$ positive. When $h=(h(1), n, \ldots, n)$, the vertices $2, \ldots, n$ in $G_{h}$ form a clique, so these graphs are in that category.
- We give another proof of this fact from the Hessenberg variety: Theorem 3 says that $H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(S, h))$, as an $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$-module, forms a permutation representation.
- The Frobenius character of a permutation representation is the complete homogeneous symmetric function $h_{\lambda}$, and applying the involution $\omega$ gives an $e$-positive expansion of $X_{G_{h}}(x ; q)$ for this Hessenberg function.
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