

Asymptotics of Bounded Lecture Hall Tableaux

Zhongyang Li
University of Connecticut

July 24, 2024

Lecture hall tableaux

- Introduced by Corteel and Kim (2020) as fillings of Young diagram satisfying certain conditions, generalizing both lecture hall partitions (Bousquet-Eriksson 1997) and anti-lecture hall compositions (Corteel and Savage 2023).

Lecture hall tableaux

- Introduced by Corteel and Kim (2020) as fillings of Young diagram satisfying certain conditions, generalizing both lecture hall partitions (Bousquet-Eriksson 1997) and anti-lecture hall compositions (Corteel and Savage 2023).




Figure: Tableau, non-intersecting paths, and dimers (Figure by Corteel, Keating and Nicoletti). The left graph represents a lecture hall tableaux L of shape $\lambda = (2, 2)$ with $L(1, 1) = 5$, $L(1, 2) = 6$, $L(2, 1) = 3$, $L(2, 2) = 3$ and $n = 2$. Then $\frac{L(1,1)}{n+1-1} = \frac{5}{2}$; $\frac{L(2,1)}{n+1-2} = 2$; $\frac{L(1,2)}{n+2-1} = 2$; $\frac{L(2,2)}{n+2-2} = \frac{3}{2}$. The lecture hall tableaux is bounded by $t = 3$. The middle graph represents the corresponding non-intersecting path configuration. The right graph represents a dimer configuration on a graph which is not doubly-periodic.

Partitions and Young diagram

- A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is a sequence of weakly decreasing nonnegative integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$.

Partitions and Young diagram

- A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is a sequence of weakly decreasing nonnegative integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$.
- A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ can be identified with its Young diagram, which consists of unit squares (cells) with integer coordinates (i, j) satisfying $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$.

Partitions and Young diagram

- A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is a sequence of weakly decreasing nonnegative integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$.
- A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ can be identified with its Young diagram, which consists of unit squares (cells) with integer coordinates (i, j) satisfying $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$.




Figure: partitions and corresponding Young diagram

Lecture hall tableaux

- $\mu \leq \lambda$: the Young diagram of μ is contained in that of λ as a set

Lecture hall tableaux

- $\mu \subseteq \lambda$: the Young diagram of μ is contained in that of λ as a set
- If $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, λ/μ is the set-theoretic difference of their Young diagrams.

Lecture hall tableaux

- $\mu \subseteq \lambda$: the Young diagram of μ is contained in that of λ as a set
- If $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, λ/μ is the set-theoretic difference of their Young diagrams.
- A tableaux of shape λ/μ is a filling of cells in λ/μ with nonnegative integers.

Lecture hall tableaux

- $\mu \subseteq \lambda$: the Young diagram of μ is contained in that of λ as a set
- If $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, λ/μ is the set-theoretic difference of their Young diagrams.
- A tableaux of shape λ/μ is a filling of cells in λ/μ with nonnegative integers.
- An n -lecture hall tableau of shape λ/μ is a tableau L of shape λ/μ satisfying the following conditions

$$\frac{L(i,j)}{n+c(i,j)} \leq \frac{L(i,j+1)}{n+c(i,j+1)}, \quad \frac{L(i,j)}{n+c(i,j)} > \frac{L(i+1,j)}{n+c(i+1,j)}.$$

where $c(i,j) = j - i$ is the content of the cell (i,j) . The set of n -lecture hall tableaux is denoted by $LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)$.

Lecture hall tableaux

- $\mu \subseteq \lambda$: the Young diagram of μ is contained in that of λ as a set
- If $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, λ/μ is the set-theoretic difference of their Young diagrams.
- A tableaux of shape λ/μ is a filling of cells in λ/μ with nonnegative integers.
- An n -lecture hall tableau of shape λ/μ is a tableau L of shape λ/μ satisfying the following conditions

$$\frac{L(i,j)}{n+c(i,j)} \leq \frac{L(i,j+1)}{n+c(i,j+1)}, \quad \frac{L(i,j)}{n+c(i,j)} > \frac{L(i+1,j)}{n+c(i+1,j)}.$$

where $c(i,j) = j - i$ is the content of the cell (i,j) . The set of n -lecture hall tableaux is denoted by $LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)$.

- If

$$L(i,j) < t(n+j-i)$$

We say these tableaux are bounded by $t > 0$.

Lecture hall tableaux, non-intersecting paths and perfect matchings

- 1 Given a positive integer t , the lecture hall graph is a graph $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$. This graph can be described through an embedding in the plane with vertex set V_t given by

and the directed edges given by

Lecture hall tableaux, non-intersecting paths and perfect matchings

- Given a positive integer t , the lecture hall graph is a graph $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$. This graph can be described through an embedding in the plane with vertex set V_t given by
 - $\left(i, \frac{j}{i+1}\right)$ for $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < t(i+1)$.and the directed edges given by

Lecture hall tableaux, non-intersecting paths and perfect matchings

- Given a positive integer t , the lecture hall graph is a graph $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$. This graph can be described through an embedding in the plane with vertex set V_t given by
 - $\left(i, \frac{j}{i+1}\right)$ for $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < t(i+1)$.and the directed edges given by
 - from $\left(i, k + \frac{r}{i+1}\right)$ to $\left(i+1, k + \frac{r}{i+2}\right)$ for $i \geq 0, 0 \leq r \leq i$ and $0 \leq k < t$

Lecture hall tableaux, non-intersecting paths and perfect matchings

- ① Given a positive integer t , the lecture hall graph is a graph $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$. This graph can be described through an embedding in the plane with vertex set V_t given by
- ▮ $\left(i, \frac{j}{i+1}\right)$ for $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < t(i+1)$.
- and the directed edges given by
- ▮ from $\left(i, k + \frac{r}{i+1}\right)$ to $\left(i+1, k + \frac{r}{i+2}\right)$ for $i \geq 0, 0 \leq r \leq i$ and $0 \leq k < t$
 - ▮ from $\left(i, k + \frac{r+1}{i+1}\right)$ to $\left(i, k + \frac{r}{i+1}\right)$ for $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r \leq i$ and $0 \leq k < t-1$ or for $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r < i$ and $k = t-1$.

Lecture hall tableaux, non-intersecting paths and perfect matchings

- Given a positive integer t , the lecture hall graph is a graph $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$. This graph can be described through an embedding in the plane with vertex set V_t given by
 - $\left(i, \frac{j}{i+1}\right)$ for $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < t(i+1)$.and the directed edges given by
 - from $\left(i, k + \frac{r}{i+1}\right)$ to $\left(i+1, k + \frac{r}{i+2}\right)$ for $i \geq 0, 0 \leq r \leq i$ and $0 \leq k < t$
 - from $\left(i, k + \frac{r+1}{i+1}\right)$ to $\left(i, k + \frac{r}{i+1}\right)$ for $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r \leq i$ and $0 \leq k < t-1$ or for $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r < i$ and $k = t-1$.
- Given a positive integer t and a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$, a non-intersecting path configuration is a system of n paths on the graph G_t . For each integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n$, the i th path starts at $\left(n-i, t - \frac{1}{n-i+1}\right)$, ends at $\left(n-i+\lambda_i, 0\right)$ and moves only downwards and rightwards. The paths are said to be not intersecting if they do not share a vertex.

Limit Shape




Figure: Limit shape when $\lambda = (n, \dots, n), n = t = 120$; by Corteel, Keating and Nicoletti

Limit Shape




Figure: Limit shape when $\lambda = (n, \dots, 1), n = t = 120$; by Corteel, Keating and Nicoletti

Combinatorics

- $LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)$: the set of n -lecture hall tableaux of shape λ/μ .

Combinatorics

- $LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)$: the set of n -lecture hall tableaux of shape λ/μ .
- $L_{\lambda/\mu}^n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{T \in LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)} \mathbf{x}^{bTc}$.

Combinatorics

- $LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)$: the set of n -lecture hall tableaux of shape λ/μ .
- $L_{\lambda/\mu}^n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{T \in LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)} \mathbf{x}^{bTc}$.
- (Corteel and Kim, 2019) $L_{\lambda}^n(\mathbf{x}) = j\mathbf{x}^{j\lambda_j} s_{\lambda}(1^n)$.

Combinatorics

- $LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)$: the set of n -lecture hall tableaux of shape λ/μ .
- $L_{\lambda/\mu}^n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{T \in LHT_n(\lambda/\mu)} \mathbf{x}^{bTc}$.
- (Corteel and Kim, 2019) $L_{\lambda}^n(\mathbf{x}) = j\mathbf{x}^{j\lambda} s_{\lambda}(1^n)$.
- (Corteel and Kim 2019) $L_{\lambda/\mu}^n(\mathbf{x}) \notin j\mathbf{x}^{j\lambda/\mu} s_{\lambda/\mu}(1^n)$.

Limit shape and complex Burgers equation: a conjecture by Corteel, Keating and Nicoletti

Conjecture

(Corteel, Keating and Nicoletti, 2019) The function $u(x, y)$ solution of the Burgers equation

$$uu_x + u_y = 0$$

satisfies

$$\arg(u) = \pi \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \text{ and } \arg(u) = \pi \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + 1$$

for some branch of the arg.

- (Kenyon and Okounkov 2006) the gradient of height function for uniform perfect matchings on hexagonal lattice in liquid region

$$\nabla h = (\arg w, \arg z)$$

satisfies

$$z + w = 1 = 0. \quad \frac{z_x}{z} + \frac{w_y}{w} = 0.$$

- (Kenyon and Okounkov 2006) the gradient of height function for uniform perfect matchings on hexagonal lattice in liquid region

$$\nabla h = (\arg w, \arg z)$$

satisfies

$$z + w = 1 = 0. \quad \frac{z_x}{z} + \frac{w_y}{w} = 0.$$

- (Pittel and Romik 2004, Sun, W. 2018) The solution complex Burgers equation is also known to be related to the scaling limit of the standard Young tableaux, whose corresponding particle configurations form a Gelfand-Testlin scheme.




Figure: Non-intersecting lattice paths on G_4 for $n = 5$. We have $\lambda^{(3)} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $\lambda^{(2)} = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$, $\lambda^{(1)} = (3, 3, 1, 0, 0)$ and $\lambda^{(0)} = (4, 3, 1, 0, 0)$. The sequence of partitions $(\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \lambda^{(3)})$ do not form a Gelfand-Tsetlin scheme.

- the particle configuration does not satisfy the interlacing condition of Gelfand-Tsetlin scheme;




Figure: Non-intersecting lattice paths on G_4 for $n = 5$. We have $\lambda^{(3)} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $\lambda^{(2)} = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$, $\lambda^{(1)} = (3, 3, 1, 0, 0)$ and $\lambda^{(0)} = (4, 3, 1, 0, 0)$. The sequence of partitions $(\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \lambda^{(3)})$ do not form a Gelfand-Tsetlin scheme.

- the particle configuration does not satisfy the interlacing condition of Gelfand-Tsetlin scheme;
- the corresponding dimer model is not doubly-periodic.

① k th moment of a measure \mathbf{m} , $M_k(\mathbf{m}) = \int x^k d\mathbf{m}$;

- 1 k th moment of a measure \mathbf{m} , $M_k(\mathbf{m}) = \int x^k d\mathbf{m}$;
- 2 Moment generating function: $S_{\mathbf{m}}(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(\mathbf{m})z^{k+1}$;

- ① k th moment of a measure \mathbf{m} , $M_k(\mathbf{m}) = \int x^k d\mathbf{m}$;
- ② Moment generating function: $S_{\mathbf{m}}(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(\mathbf{m})z^{k+1}$;
- ③ Voiculescu R -transform of \mathbf{m} : $R_{\mathbf{m}}(z) = \frac{1}{S_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1}(z)} - \frac{1}{z}$.

- ① k th moment of a measure \mathbf{m} , $M_k(\mathbf{m}) = \int x^k d\mathbf{m}$;
- ② Moment generating function: $S_{\mathbf{m}}(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(\mathbf{m})z^{k+1}$;
- ③ Voiculescu R -transform of \mathbf{m} : $R_{\mathbf{m}}(z) = \frac{1}{S_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1}(z)} - \frac{1}{z}$.
- ④ $H_{\mathbf{m}}(u) = \int_0^{\ln u} R_{\mathbf{m}}(t) dt + \ln\left(\frac{\ln u}{u-1}\right)$.

Main Result: Moment of the Limit Measure

Theorem

Let n be the total number of non-intersecting paths in G , and let t be the height of G . Let $\rho_\kappa(n)$ be the probability distribution of $\lambda^{(\kappa)}$. Assume

$$y := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\kappa}{n}; \quad s := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j\mathbf{x}_\kappa^j}{j\mathbf{x}^j}; \quad \alpha := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{n}; \quad (1)$$

such that

$$s \in (0, 1); \quad y \in (0, \alpha).$$

Then random measures $\mathbf{m}_{\rho_\kappa(n)}$ converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in probability, in the sense of moments to a deterministic measure \mathbf{m}_y on \mathbb{R} , whose moments are given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^j \mathbf{m}_y(dx) = \frac{1}{2(j+1)\pi i} \oint_1 \frac{dz}{z(1+s)} \left((z-1+s)H_{\mathbf{m}_0}^\theta(z) + \frac{z(1+s)}{z-1} \right)^{j+1}$$

Here \mathbf{m}_0 is the limit counting measure for the boundary partition $\lambda^{(0)} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Schur generating functions

- \mathcal{M} be a random non-intersecting path configuration on $G = G_t$;

Schur generating functions

- \mathcal{M} be a random non-intersecting path configuration on $G = G_t$;
- $\lambda_1^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;

Schur generating functions

- \mathcal{M} be a random non-intersecting path configuration on $G = G_t$;
- $\lambda_1^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;
- for $j \geq 2$, $\lambda_j^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the j th rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;

Schur generating functions

- \mathcal{M} be a random non-intersecting path configuration on $G = G_t$;
- $\lambda_1^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;
- for $j \geq 2$, $\lambda_j^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the j th rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;
- ρ_κ be the probability distribution of $\lambda^{(\kappa)}$;

Schur generating functions

- \mathcal{M} be a random non-intersecting path configuration on $G = G_t$;
- $\lambda_1^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;
- for $j \geq 2$, $\lambda_j^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the j th rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;
- ρ_κ be the probability distribution of $\lambda^{(\kappa)}$;
- $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$; $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$;
 $j\mathbf{x} = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_t$.

Schur generating functions

- \mathcal{M} be a random non-intersecting path configuration on $G = G_t$;
- $\lambda_1^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;
- for $j \geq 2$, $\lambda_j^{(\kappa)}$ is the number of absent vertical edges of \mathcal{M} intersecting $y = \kappa + \epsilon$ to the left of the j th rightmost vertical edges present in \mathcal{M} ;
- ρ_κ be the probability distribution of $\lambda^{(\kappa)}$;
- $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$; $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$;
 $j\mathbf{x} = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_t$.
- Schur generating function: $S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Y}} \rho_\kappa(\lambda) \frac{s_\lambda(j\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u})}{s_\lambda(j\mathbf{x})}$.

Differential Operator and Moments

- $V(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i < j} (u_i - u_j);$

Differential Operator and Moments

- $V(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i < j} (u_i - u_j)$;
- $D_{j, \kappa} S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_\kappa j, \mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{V(\mathbf{u})} \left[\sum_i \left((j\mathbf{x}_\kappa j + u_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \right)^j \right] V(\mathbf{u}) S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_\kappa j, \mathbf{u})$;

Differential Operator and Moments

- $V(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i < j} (u_i - u_j)$;
- $D_{j, \kappa} S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa j}, \mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{V(\mathbf{u})} \left[\sum_i \left((j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa j} + u_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \right)^j \right] V(\mathbf{u}) S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa j}, \mathbf{u})$;
- $\frac{1}{n^{(j+1)m}} D_j^m S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa j}, \mathbf{u}) \Big|_{\mathbf{u}=0} := \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^j d\mathbf{m}_{\rho_\kappa} \right)^m$;

Differential Operator and Moments

- $V(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i < j} (u_i - u_j)$;
- $D_{j, \kappa} S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa j}, \mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{V(\mathbf{u})} \left[\sum_i \left((j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa j} + u_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \right)^j \right] V(\mathbf{u}) S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa j}, \mathbf{u})$;
- $\frac{1}{n^{(j+1)m}} D_j^m S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa j}, \mathbf{u}) \Big|_{\mathbf{u}=0} := \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^j d\mathbf{m}_{\rho_\kappa} \right)^m$;
-

- $S_{\rho_{\kappa}}(\mathbf{x}_{\kappa}, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{s_{\lambda(0)}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u})}{s_{\lambda(0)}(\mathbf{x})};$

- $S_{\rho_{\kappa}}(j\mathbf{x}_{\kappa}j, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{s_{\lambda(0)}(j\mathbf{x}j+\mathbf{u})}{s_{\lambda(0)}(j\mathbf{x}j)}$;
- $\mathbf{x}_{\kappa} = (x_{\kappa}, x_{\kappa+1}, \dots, x_t)$

- $S_{\rho_\kappa}(j\mathbf{x}_\kappa, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{s_{\lambda(0)}(j\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u})}{s_{\lambda(0)}(j\mathbf{x})}$;
- $\mathbf{x}_\kappa = (x_\kappa, x_{\kappa+1}, \dots, x_t)$
- Analyzing the leading terms,

$$E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^p m[\lambda_{\rho_\kappa}] dx \right) \quad I_p$$

$$E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^p m[\lambda_{\rho_\kappa}] dx \right)^2 \quad I_p^2$$

Frozen Boundary

- The density f_μ of a probability measure μ is given by

$$f_\mu(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}(\text{St}_\mu(x + i\epsilon))$$

Frozen Boundary

- The density f_μ of a probability measure μ is given by

$$f_\mu(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{St}_\mu(x + i\epsilon)$$

- If the density of the limit counting measure of partitions is 0 or 1 at a point, then that point is in the frozen region; otherwise it is in the liquid region.

Frozen Boundary

- The density f_μ of a probability measure μ is given by

$$f_\mu(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{St}_\mu(x + i\epsilon)$$

- If the density of the limit counting measure of partitions is 0 or 1 at a point, then that point is in the frozen region; otherwise it is in the liquid region.
- Frozen boundary: the boundary of frozen region.

Frozen Boundary

- The density f_μ of a probability measure μ is given by

$$f_\mu(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} (\operatorname{St}_\mu(x + i\epsilon))$$

- If the density of the limit counting measure of partitions is 0 or 1 at a point, then that point is in the frozen region; otherwise it is in the liquid region.
- Frozen boundary: the boundary of frozen region.
- $\operatorname{St}_m(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{j-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^j d\mathbf{m}$




Figure: Frozen boundary for the scaling limit of weighted non-interaction paths. The blue curve is for the uniform weight; the red curve is when the limit weight function s satisfies $y = (1 - s)^2$. Boundary partition given by $(2n, 2n - 2, \dots, 2, 0)$

Rescaled Height Function and Complex Burgers Equation

Theorem

(Li, Keating and Prause 2023) Assume G is uniformly weighted such that $s = 1 - y$. Let

$$u = \frac{1}{z_+(\chi, y) S_{m_0}^{(1)}(\ln z_+(\chi, y))}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\pi} (2 \operatorname{Arg}(u)); \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\pi} = u \quad (2)$$

where $\operatorname{Arg}(\cdot)$ is the branch of the argument function taking values in $[0, 2\pi)$. Moreover, u satisfies the complex Burgers equation

$$u_x - uu_y = 0. \quad (3)$$

Height Fluctuations and GFF

The fluctuations of the unrescaled height function converges to GFF in the liquid region when the bottom boundary condition is piecewise.

- Gaussian fluctuation obtained by verifying the Wick's theorem for Gaussian distribution

Height Fluctuations and GFF

The fluctuations of the unrescaled height function converges to GFF in the liquid region when the bottom boundary condition is piecewise.

- Gaussian fluctuation obtained by verifying the Wick's theorem for Gaussian distribution
- The covariance can be expressed as an integral of the Green's function.

Height Fluctuations and GFF

The fluctuations of the unrescaled height function converges to GFF in the liquid region when the bottom boundary condition is piecewise.

- Gaussian fluctuation obtained by verifying the Wick's theorem for Gaussian distribution
- The covariance can be expressed as an integral of the Green's function.
- The liquid region is mapped to \mathbb{H} by an explicit homeomorphism; the height fluctuation is the pull-back of GFF in \mathbb{H} .

Thank you!