Bijections for Graphs and Lawrence Polytopes

Changxin Ding

Georgia Institute of Technology

FPSAC 2024

July 22

Let G be a finite undirected connected graph. By the matrix-tree theorem, we have |Jac(G)| = #spanning trees of G

- Jac(G) is the Jacobian group/sandpile group/Picard group/critical group of G.
- A vague question: under certain conditions, is there a canonical simply transitive action of Jac(G) on the set of spanning trees? [Jordan Ellenberg, Mathoverflow 2011]
- For plane graphs (not just planar), the answer is yes. [Chan-Church-Grochow '18], [Baker-Wang '18], [Ganguly-McDonough '23], etc.
- For a general graph, we probably need some extra data to define the action.

Let G be a finite undirected connected graph. By the matrix-tree theorem, we have |Jac(G)| = #spanning trees of G

- Jac(G) is the Jacobian group/sandpile group/Picard group/critical group of G.
- A vague question: under certain conditions, is there a canonical simply transitive action of Jac(G) on the set of spanning trees? [Jordan Ellenberg, Mathoverflow 2011]
- For plane graphs (not just planar), the answer is yes. [Chan-Church-Grochow '18], [Baker-Wang '18], [Ganguly-McDonough '23], etc.
- For a general graph, we probably need some extra data to define the action.

Let G be a finite undirected connected graph. By the matrix-tree theorem, we have |Jac(G)| = #spanning trees of G

- Jac(G) is the Jacobian group/sandpile group/Picard group/critical group of G.
- A vague question: under certain conditions, is there a canonical simply transitive action of Jac(G) on the set of spanning trees? [Jordan Ellenberg, Mathoverflow 2011]
- For plane graphs (not just planar), the answer is yes. [Chan-Church-Grochow '18], [Baker-Wang '18], [Ganguly-McDonough '23], etc.
- For a general graph, we probably need some extra data to define the action.

[Backman-Baker-Yuen '19] considered the following way to define the action: $Jac(G) \curvearrowright \{cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G\} \longleftrightarrow \{spanning trees of G\}$

• The group action is canonical and simply transitive [Backman '17].

• The bijection can be

- the Backman-Baker-Yuen bijection, which relies on a cycle signature and a cocycle signature;
- the Bernardi bijection, which relies on combinatorial embedding and initial data;
- Gioan and Las Vergnas' active bijection, which relies on a total order on the edges of G.
- We introduce a family of bijections including BBY and Bernardi's bijections. The extra data needed to define the bijections are related to Lawrence polytopes.

 $Jac(G) \curvearrowright \{cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G\} \longleftrightarrow \{spanning trees of G\}$

- The group action is canonical and simply transitive [Backman '17].
- The bijection can be
 - the Backman-Baker-Yuen bijection, which relies on a cycle signature and a cocycle signature;
 - the Bernardi bijection, which relies on combinatorial embedding and initial data;
 - Gioan and Las Vergnas' active bijection, which relies on a total order on the edges of G.
- We introduce a family of bijections including BBY and Bernardi's bijections. The extra data needed to define the bijections are related to Lawrence polytopes.

 $Jac(G) \curvearrowright \{cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G\} \longleftrightarrow \{spanning trees of G\}$

- The group action is canonical and simply transitive [Backman '17].
- The bijection can be
 - the Backman-Baker-Yuen bijection, which relies on a cycle signature and a cocycle signature;
 - the Bernardi bijection, which relies on combinatorial embedding and initial data;
 - Gioan and Las Vergnas' active bijection, which relies on a total order on the edges of G.
- We introduce a family of bijections including BBY and Bernardi's bijections. The extra data needed to define the bijections are related to Lawrence polytopes.

 $\mathsf{Jac}(G) \curvearrowright \{\mathsf{cycle}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{cocycle} \text{ reversal classes of } G\} \longleftrightarrow \{\mathsf{spanning trees of } G\}$

- The group action is canonical and simply transitive [Backman '17].
- The bijection can be
 - the Backman-Baker-Yuen bijection, which relies on a cycle signature and a cocycle signature;
 - the Bernardi bijection, which relies on combinatorial embedding and initial data;
 - Gioan and Las Vergnas' active bijection, which relies on a total order on the edges of G.
- We introduce a family of bijections including BBY and Bernardi's bijections. The extra data needed to define the bijections are related to Lawrence polytopes.

 $Jac(G) \curvearrowright \{cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G\} \longleftrightarrow \{spanning trees of G\}$

- The group action is canonical and simply transitive [Backman '17].
- The bijection can be
 - the Backman-Baker-Yuen bijection, which relies on a cycle signature and a cocycle signature;
 - the Bernardi bijection, which relies on combinatorial embedding and initial data;
 - Gioan and Las Vergnas' active bijection, which relies on a total order on the edges of G.
- We introduce a family of bijections including BBY and Bernardi's bijections. The extra data needed to define the bijections are related to Lawrence polytopes.

Section 1

The Action

$Jac(G) \land \{cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G\} \longleftrightarrow \{spanning trees of G\}$

Directed Cycles and Cocycles (Minimal Cuts)



Cycle-Cocycle Reversals Classes of Orientations

Gioan introduced the cycle-cocycle reversal classes of orientations of G. To obtain one class

from a given orientation, we reverse the directed cycles and cocycles in all the possible ways.



The Jacobian Group



• Let M be the <u>incidence matrix</u> of G w.r.t. the reference orientation.

•
$$\ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) := \ker(M) \cap \mathbb{Z}^E = \langle directed cycles
angle.$$

• $\operatorname{Im}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M^{T}) := \operatorname{Im}(M^{T}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{E} = \langle \operatorname{directed cocycles} \rangle.$

The Jacobian group

$$\operatorname{Jac}(G) := \mathbb{Z}^{E}/(\operatorname{ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Im}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M^{T})).$$



• Let M be the <u>incidence matrix</u> of G w.r.t. the reference orientation.

•
$$\ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) := \ker(M) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{E} = \langle \text{directed cycles} \rangle.$$

• $\operatorname{Im}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M^T) := \operatorname{Im}(M^T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^E = \langle \operatorname{directed cocycles} \rangle.$

• The Jacobian group

$$\operatorname{Jac}(G) := \mathbb{Z}^E/(\operatorname{ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Im}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M^T)).$$



• Let M be the <u>incidence matrix</u> of G w.r.t. the reference orientation.

•
$$\ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) := \ker(M) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{E} = \langle \text{directed cycles} \rangle.$$

- $\operatorname{Im}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M^T) := \operatorname{Im}(M^T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^E = \langle \operatorname{directed cocycles} \rangle.$
- The Jacobian group

$$\operatorname{Jac}(G) := \mathbb{Z}^{E} / (\operatorname{ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Im}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M^{T})).$$

 $Jac(G) \land \{cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G\} \longleftrightarrow \{spanning trees of G\}$

- Cycle-cocycle reversal classes = orientations/(cycle reversals, cocycle reversals).
- $Jac(G) = \mathbb{Z}^{E}/(directed cycles, directed cocycles).$

Theorem(Backman '17, Backman-Bak<u>er-Yuen '19)</u>

The Jacobian group Jac(G) admits a simply transitive group action on the set of cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G.

 $Jac(G) \curvearrowright \{cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G\} \longleftrightarrow \{spanning trees of G\}$

- Cycle-cocycle reversal classes = orientations/(cycle reversals, cocycle reversals).
- $Jac(G) = \mathbb{Z}^{E}/(directed cycles, directed cocycles).$

Theorem(Backman '17, Backman-Baker-Yuen '19)

The Jacobian group Jac(G) admits a simply transitive group action on the set of cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G.

Section 2

Lawrence Polytopes and Bijections

$Jac(G) \curvearrowright \{cycle-cocycle reversal classes of G\} \longleftrightarrow \{spanning trees of G\}$

- Let *M* be a totally unimodular matrix that represents the graphic matroid associated to a graph *G*. E.g., let *M* be the incidence matrix of *G* (and remove a row).
- Construct the Lawrence matrix (n = |E|, r = |T|): $\begin{pmatrix} M_{r \times n} & 0 \\ I_{n \times n} & I_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}$.
- Let $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n, e_{-1}, e_{-2}, \cdots, e_{-n}$ be the column vectors.
- The Lawrence polytope P is defined to be the convex hull of $e_1, \cdots, e_n, e_{-1}, \cdots, e_{-n}$.
- Take the dual matroid and define the Lawrence polytope P^* .

Example and Correspondence 1



 $\overline{3}$



Correspondence 1:

{vertices of P} \longleftrightarrow {arcs of G}

Example and Correspondence 2



Correspondence 2: $\{\max \text{ simplices of } P\} \longleftrightarrow$ $\{\text{externally oriented trees of } G\}$

Definition

• A <u>fourientation</u> is a choice for each edge of G whether to make it one-way oriented, leave

it unoriented, or biorient it.

• For a tree T, an <u>externally oriented tree</u> \overrightarrow{T} is a fourientation where all the internal edges are bioriented and all the external edges are one-way oriented.

Example and Correspondence 3



The Lawrence Polytope P^*

- An external atlas A tells us how to orient external edges for every tree. Triangulations of P orient the external edges in an "interesting" way.
- Dually, triangulations of the Lawrence polytope P* tell us how to orient internal edges for every tree in an "interesting" way.
- We define internally oriented trees $\overline{\mathcal{T}^*}$ and internal atlases \mathcal{A}^* .



The Lawrence Polytope P*

- An external atlas A tells us how to orient external edges for every tree. Triangulations of P orient the external edges in an "interesting" way.
- Dually, triangulations of the Lawrence polytope *P*^{*} tell us how to orient internal edges for every tree in an "interesting" way.
- We define internally oriented trees $\overrightarrow{T^*}$ and internal atlases \mathcal{A}^* .



Definition

• An external atlas \mathcal{A} is triangulating if the fourientation $\overrightarrow{T_i} \cap (-\overrightarrow{T_j})$ contains no directed cycle for any $\overrightarrow{T_i}, \overrightarrow{T_j} \in \mathcal{A}$.

② An internal atlas A^{*} is triangulating if the fourientation $\overrightarrow{T_i^*} \cap (-\overrightarrow{T_j^*})$ contains no directed cocycle for any $\overrightarrow{T_i^*}, \overrightarrow{T_j^*} \in A^*$.

Framework: From Atlases to Tree-Orientation Correspondences

From a pair of atlases $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$, we obtain a map



Main Result

Theorem(D. '23+)

Given a pair of triangulating atlases $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}^*),$ the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{f_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}^*}} : \{ \mathsf{trees} \} & \longrightarrow & \{ \mathsf{cycle-cocycle \ reversal \ classes} \} \\ & & & \\ T & \mapsto & [\overrightarrow{T} \cap \overrightarrow{T^*}] \end{array}$$

is bijective.

Remark 1: our proof uses the combinatorial definition of triangulating atlases rather than the geometric property of triangulations.

Main Result

Theorem(D. '23+)

Given a pair of triangulating atlases $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}^*),$ the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{f_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}^*}} : \{ \mathsf{trees} \} & \longrightarrow & \{ \mathsf{cycle-cocycle \ reversal \ classes} \} \\ & & & \\ & & & & & [\overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \cap \overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}^*}] \end{array}$$

is bijective.

Remark 2: the result still holds if one of the triangulations is a dissection.



Section 3

Specializations and Extensions

- Our bijections include the BBY bijections: they correspond to regular triangulations.
- Our bijections include the Bernardi bijections: they correspond to a subclass of dissections.
- Our bijections can be canonically extended to a subgraph-orientation correspondence.

Cycle Signatures

For a triangulating external atlas \mathcal{A} , we collect all the directed cycles \overrightarrow{C} from \mathcal{A} : {directed cycle $\overrightarrow{C} : \overrightarrow{C} \subseteq \overrightarrow{T}$ for some $\overrightarrow{T} \in \mathcal{A}$ }.



This set always gives a cycle signature.

Definition

A cycle signature of G is the choice of a direction for each cycle of G.

 $\{$ triangulating atlases $\} \longleftrightarrow \{$ triangulating cycle signatures $\}$.

Dissections do not induce signatures in general.

Cycle Signatures

For a triangulating external atlas \mathcal{A} , we collect all the directed cycles \overrightarrow{C} from \mathcal{A} : {directed cycle $\overrightarrow{C} : \overrightarrow{C} \subseteq \overrightarrow{T}$ for some $\overrightarrow{T} \in \mathcal{A}$ }.



This set always gives a cycle signature.

Definition

A cycle signature of G is the choice of a direction for each cycle of G.

 $\{ {\tt triangulating atlases} \} \longleftrightarrow \{ {\tt triangulating cycle signatures} \}.$

Dissections do not induce signatures in general.

Cycle Signatures

For a triangulating external atlas \mathcal{A} , we collect all the directed cycles \overrightarrow{C} from \mathcal{A} : {directed cycle $\overrightarrow{C} : \overrightarrow{C} \subseteq \overrightarrow{T}$ for some $\overrightarrow{T} \in \mathcal{A}$ }.



This set always gives a cycle signature.

Definition

A cycle signature of G is the choice of a direction for each cycle of G.

 $\{triangulating atlases\} \longleftrightarrow \{triangulating cycle signatures\}.$

Dissections do not induce signatures in general.



- Similar results hold for P^* and cocycle signatures.
- Backman, Baker, and Yuen use an acyclic cycle signature and an acyclic cocycle signature of *G* to define the BBY bijection.
- In our language, their BBY bijection is defined using a pair of special triangulating atlases/triangulations.



- Similar results hold for P^* and cocycle signatures.
- Backman, Baker, and Yuen use an acyclic cycle signature and an acyclic cocycle signature of *G* to define the BBY bijection.
- In our language, their BBY bijection is defined using a pair of special triangulating atlases/triangulations.



- Similar results hold for P^* and cocycle signatures.
- Backman, Baker, and Yuen use an acyclic cycle signature and an acyclic cocycle signature of *G* to define the BBY bijection.
- In our language, their BBY bijection is defined using a pair of special triangulating atlases/triangulations.



- Similar results hold for P^* and cocycle signatures.
- Backman, Baker, and Yuen use an acyclic cycle signature and an acyclic cocycle signature of *G* to define the BBY bijection.
- In our language, their BBY bijection is defined using a pair of special triangulating atlases/triangulations.

• Gleb Nenashev helped me prove that

a cycle signature of a graph is triangulating \Leftrightarrow for any three directed cycles in the signature, the sum is non-zero. We don't know whether similar results hold for regular matroids.

• Gleb Nenashev helped me prove that

a cycle signature of a graph is triangulating ⇔ for any three directed cycles in the signature, the sum is non-zero. We don't know whether similar results hold for regular matroids.

• (Backman-Santos-Yuen '23+) For oriented matroids M (which contain regular matroids),

a circuit signature is triangulating

 \Leftrightarrow it is induced by a generic single-element lifting

 \Leftrightarrow it is induced by a triangulation of the Lawrence polytope of M.

The dual result also holds.

The Bernardi Bijections

The Bernardi bijection is defined for a connected graph embedded into an oriented surface with initial data (q, e).



- The Bernardi bijections cannot be defined using signatures in general.
- Same for dissections.
- The internal part of the Bernardi bijections is a special case of acyclic signatures (i.e., regular triangulations).
- The external part of the Bernardi bijections is a special case of dissections. This is proved in [Kálmán-Tóthmérész's '20,'22] where they connect the dissections of the root polytope of hypergraphs and the Bernardi tours.

- The Bernardi bijections cannot be defined using signatures in general.
- Same for dissections.
- The internal part of the Bernardi bijections is a special case of acyclic signatures (i.e., regular triangulations).
- The external part of the Bernardi bijections is a special case of dissections. This is proved in [Kálmán-Tóthmérész's '20,'22] where they connect the dissections of the root polytope of hypergraphs and the Bernardi tours.

type of subgraph	orientation class	cardinality
trees	cycle-cocycle reversal classes	$T_G(1,1)$
forests	cycle reversal classes	$T_{G}(2,1)$
connected subgraphs	cocycle reversal classes	$T_{G}(1,2)$
subgraphs	orientations	$T_{G}(2,2)$

• Recall: given one triangulations and one dissection, the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{f_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}^*}} : \{ \mathsf{trees} \} & \longrightarrow & \{ \mathsf{cycle-cocycle\ reversal\ classes} \} \\ & \mathcal{T} & \mapsto & [\overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \cap \overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}^*}] \end{array}$$

is bijective.

 We extend the map f_{A,A*} to a subgraph-orientation correspondence that establishes bijections for all the four rows in the table. The extension does not require extra data. 24/25

type of subgraph	orientation class	cardinality
trees	cycle-cocycle reversal classes	$T_G(1,1)$
forests	cycle reversal classes	$T_{G}(2,1)$
connected subgraphs	cocycle reversal classes	$T_{G}(1,2)$
subgraphs	orientations	$T_{G}(2,2)$

• Recall: given one triangulations and one dissection, the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{f_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}^*}} : \{ \mathsf{trees} \} & \longrightarrow & \{ \mathsf{cycle-cocycle\ reversal\ classes} \} \\ & \mathcal{T} & \mapsto & [\overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \cap \overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}^*}] \end{array}$$

is bijective.

 We extend the map f_{A,A*} to a subgraph-orientation correspondence that establishes bijections for all the four rows in the table. The extension does not require extra data. 24/25

The End

Thank you!